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Abstract: This study is driven by a reality showing a social communication in a factual Fiqh interpretation between Muslim and non-Muslim in the Qur’an. Therefore, the question that needs to be answered is “how the fiqh interpretation can depict the social communication between Muslims and non-Muslims in the Qur’an?” This is a qualitative study, which is also a library study, and the whole data source is a written documentation. This study has depicted the social communication occurred between Muslims and non-Muslims through the Qur’an. The Qur’an mentioned that Islam and Muslim are parts of a belief, but it also mentions about non-Muslim in a samawi scope, which are Yahudi, Nasrani and Ahl al-Kitab. The social communication between Muslims and non-Muslims is also detected in the Qur’an comprising topics like knowing each other, conducting a convention of peace, and a more lenient relationship with the Christians because of the same origin and an equivalent dialog with the believers of Ahl al-Kitab in a topic that doubts the Oneness of Allah the Almighty.
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Introduction

As social creatures, humans\(^1\) are absolutely demanded by the holy Qur’an to be good talkers since humans are the only creatures who are bestowed with the ability to talk. Speaking ability is the form of communication skill. Jalaluddin Rakhmad asserts that “communication is a must in every activity of humans.”\(^2\) A research reported that 75% of people find that communication is the hardest thing to do during activities that involve communication skills\(^3\) although they can communicate effectively.\(^4\)

Several literatures written in the old or contemporary documentation of the Qur’an \textit{tafsīr} or interpretation have interpreted the verses of the Qur’an comprehensively and thoroughly started from surah \textit{al-Fātiḥah} up to \textit{al-Nās}. Those can be easily found in public libraries.\(^5\) These literatures have interpreted the verses of the Qur’an in various patterns and tendencies, such as \textit{fiqh}, \textit{aqeedah}, philosophy, literature, science and social community. The interpretation methods chosen are also varied, such as analytical (\textit{al-tahlīl}), thematic (\textit{al-maudhūt}), comparative (\textit{al-muqārnn}) and global (\textit{al-ījmā‘ī}).\(^6\) It seems that, as seen from various scientific studies, the study on social communication in \textit{fiqh} interpretation, particularly an analytical study concerning Muslims and non-Muslims relation, which is written in the Qur’an, has not attracted the attention of any observers, activists, or researcher of Qur’an interpretation. It happened because the study of \textit{tafsīr}/interpretation mostly revolves around theoretical scope and it rarely discusses implementational scope, especially social communication and \textit{fiqh} interpretation.

The abovementioned arguments have led to an understanding that the study of \textit{fiqh} interpretation needs to be more deeply studied, particularly the implementational scope of the verses of the Qur’an, which are related to the social communication between Muslims and non-Muslims, using analytical method so that the message can be conveyed comprehensively.

---

\(^1\) Q.S. al-Rahmān/55: 4


\(^5\) There are three crucial phases of the Qur’an interpretation development; first: \textit{tafsīr} in the era of Muhammad PBUH and his companions, second: \textit{tafsīr} in the \textit{tabi‘in} era, and third: \textit{tafsīr} in codification era. This codification era is started since the end of Bani Umayyah era and in the beginning of Bani Ababbasyiah era. Each era has its own method and peculiar way in interpreting the holy Qur’an. One weakness found in the history of \textit{tafsīr} involves the first person that wrote the \textit{tafsīr} of the Qur’an, which is arranged from the very first surah from \textit{al-Fātiḥah} up to \textit{al-Nās}, that is still debatable and is unconfirmed. However, this shortage does not diminish the fact that both printed and unprinted literatures of \textit{tafsīr} have filled in the world Islamic libraries. Muhammad Ḥusain al-Dzhahbil, \textit{al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirūn}, Vol. 1 (Kairo: Maktabah Wahbah, n.d.), p. 27-111. Subhi Şalih, \textit{Mabāhīts fi Ulūm al-Qur’ān}, ed. 29 (t.t.p.: Dār al-‘Ilmi li al-Malāyīn, 2000), p. 289.

The following argument is related to the social typology of the society who live in Indonesia and is composed of numerous backgrounds, religions, cultures, ethnicities, and races. This study is expected to be a variable in communication and interaction within social scope so that moderation and the rahmatan li al-‘alamin concept of Islam (bless for the whole universe) can be created.

The former studies or researches are closely connected to these theme and topic, like what has been conducted by Samsu who discusses the social interaction between Muslims and non-Muslims that highlights the verses of the Qur’an that are correlated with social interaction and no tafsîr/interpretation methodology is used. The same goes for the study conducted by Haïdi Hajar Widagdo who analyzed the interaction between Muslims and non-Muslims based on the hadith perspective that focuses on the hadiths of the prophet as associated with social interaction. Another related study was conducted by Ali Imron who analyzed the social interaction between Muslims and non-Muslims in maqâshid al-syarîah perspective within the range of the Islamic law. It focuses on the social interaction between Muslims and non-Muslims in fiqh law, which analyzed maqâshid al-syarîah. There is also a study written by Andi Rahman who discusses the relation of Muslims and non-Muslims in the history framework of the relation between Prophet Muhammad PBUH and Islamic ummah/Muslim people, Jews, or Christians which implicitly acknowledges the plurality of religions. In relation to the fiqh tafsîr study, there is study which is written by Abdul Syukur, that emphasizes on the introduction to the Qur’an tafsîr pattern, theoretical pattern, and other models in interpreting the Qur’an. Another related study was conducted by Mhd Syahnan wrote The Image of the Prophet and the Systematization of Ushul al-Fiqh: A Study of al-Shafî’i’s Risâlah. There also a study written by Mhd Syahnan discuss and Critical Analysis of Sayyid Qutb’s Principle Thought. However, none of those studies specifically tells about the fiqh studies such as “An Analytical Study of Social Communication between Muslims and non-Muslims in the Qur’an.” Although the study

---

reported by Samsu indeed informs about the social interaction between between Muslims and non-Muslims in the Qur’an, it is still general and has not specifically talked about *fiqh tafsîr*. Moreover, it has not reached out to the perspective used for responding to the method of interpreting the verses in the Qur’an, which becomes the basis of his argument and uses no method of interpretation. The comparison of the study will be broader if it is compared to Haidir’s since his study is more specific and oriented to hadith. On the other hand, there will not be too many differences if it is compared to Ali Imron’s study because it employs *maqashid al-syariah* (the objectives of sharia) perspective as the analytical approach. Whereas, Andi Rahman’s study focuses more on three community entities, which are made as the objects that live side to side within the community as one entity, namely the people of Medina.

Understanding the aforementioned reasons, this study aims to fill in the already detected space. To make it more specific, one question that needs to be answered is “How is the analysis of the social communication, in *fiqh tafsîr*, between Muslims and non-Muslims as written in the Qur’an?” The question regarding social communication is very crucial since it is a new matter and a new topic of debate that is only confined in textual problem. To answer this question, this study employs an analytical perspective (*al-tahlîl*) across *mufassirs* (the author of the Qur’an *tafsîr*) in understanding related verses. This study is categorized as a qualitative research and the type is library study, in which the whole data are provided in form of written literatures. The primary data are the verses of the Qur’an, which inform the social communication between Muslims and non-Muslims. Furthermore, this study also aims to do a deeper mapping on the serious power and effort in seeing the development of the study on the Qur’an and its *tafsîr* in a national scale.

**Results and Discussion**

**Social Communication in the Quran**

Communication cannot certainly be separated from human life since it is needed to organize the rules of relationship among humans. Ali Nurdin once stated that everything surrounds humans can be utilized to communicate with their environment, such as message, symbol, media, signal, password, information, news or even language.\(^{15}\) However, communication also emphasizes on the understanding of humans’ behavior in producing, transforming, and interpreting the message for a certain goal.\(^{16}\)

The term social communication is formed from two words, “communication” and

---


“social”. Communication is derived from Latin language, *communicatio*, and a root word *communis*, which means “the same”. In this case, a communication can be considered as communicative if every party understands the language and the topic of the conversation.\textsuperscript{17} The word “communication” is not actually written in the Qur’ān, and it is already asserted by Fəndi who said that “the Qur’ān does not provide a specific explication about communication.”\textsuperscript{18} Amir also affirms that there is no definition of communication or communication science, but those two sources depict some basic principles concerning communication.\textsuperscript{19} Even though the words “social communication” are not written in any of those sources, by quoting Nasution’s opinion, Ali Nuradin said that the Qur’ān has given some guideline\textsuperscript{20} regarding social problems in the community and the number of verses is greater than the verses informing about *mahdah*, which is 228: 140\textsuperscript{21} in comparison. The word “social” is actually derived from a Latin language “socio” that means “connected to the society.”\textsuperscript{22} The word “social”, which is derived from Arabic language *ijtimāʿiyah*, is understood as “matters that are related to the society.”\textsuperscript{23}

These words have elucidated a conclusion that social communication is a conversation that occurs because of the use of the same language, which is understood by both parties, and the problems related to the order of the society. Further, social communication will be able to fulfill both the positive and negative emotional needs. The positive ones encompass the increasing mental health, acknowledging the meaning of humanity, sympathy, appreciation, and respect. The negative ones include the increasing feeling of proud, jealousy and hatred.

**The Position of Fiqh Tafsir in Qur’āanic Interpretation Literatures**

*Fiqh Tafsir* is derived from the Arabic Language *al-tafsir al-fiqh*, which consist of two words: *al-tafsir* and *al-fiqh*. The word *tafsir* is derived from the words *fassara yufassiru tafsiran*.\textsuperscript{24} The word *al-fasru* is understood as *kasyf al-mughaththah*, which means “revealing

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{17} Sumarjo, "Ilmu Komunikasi Dalam Al-Qurān," p. 113.
\item \textsuperscript{18} Onong Uchjana Effendy, *Ilmu Komunikasi: Teori dan Praktek* (Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya, 1999), p. 9.
\item \textsuperscript{19} Mafri Amir, *Etika Komunikasi Massa Dalam Pandangan Islam* (Jakarta: Logos Wacana Ilmu, 1999), p. 11.
\item \textsuperscript{21} Ali Nurdin, “Akar Komunikasi Dalam Al-Qurān,” p. 12.
\item \textsuperscript{22} The Definition of Social, in http://kbbi.web.id/sosial, August 29, 2016.
\item \textsuperscript{24} Muḥammad bin Manzhūr, *Lisān al-‘Arab*, Vol. 5 (Beirut: Dār al-Shādir, 1414), p. 55.
\end{itemize}
a hidden thing.”\textsuperscript{25} Thus, from the etymological meaning, the word \textit{al-tafsîr} is also known as \textit{al-bayân}, \textit{al-taudhîh}, \textit{al-kasyf} or \textit{al-izhâh}.\textsuperscript{26} Respectively, these words mean information, explanation, uncovering, and showing. The definition based on etymology is then narrowed down into a more theoretical definition that is mentioned as “the discipline of study that is utilized to understand the holy book that was given by Allah the Almighty to Prophet Muhammad PBUH by explicating the content and socializing the laws.”\textsuperscript{27} Based on the etymological definition, the word \textit{fiqh} actually means “understand”; however, it is narrated as “a discipline of knowledge discussing the laws of syara’ that contains “amal’i’ah character and the sources are detailed theorems” based on the terminological definition.\textsuperscript{28}

By comprehending the two definitions, \textit{at-tafsîr} and \textit{al-fiqh}, a conclusion can be drawn explaining that \textit{fiqh tafsîr} is an in-depth study about the verses of the Qur’ân, which focuses only on legal verses. To make it more tangible, Muhammad al-Khatîb declares that \textit{fiqh tafsîr} is one of the Qur’ân \textit{tafsîr} patterns\textsuperscript{29} that dominate the problems of \textit{fiqh} and its branches as well as differences of opinion and basic argumentation. As asserted by Baidan, the Qur’ân \textit{tafsîr} patterns comprise the color, direction, tendency of thoughts or certain ideas that dominate a work of \textit{tafsîr}.\textsuperscript{30} \textit{Fiqh tafsîr} is also well-known as \textit{ahkâm} verses \textit{tafsîr} and/or \textit{ahkâm} \textit{tafsîr}.

Al-Dzahâbî classifies the developmental phases of \textit{fiqh tafsîr} in three periods.\textsuperscript{31} Those are the \textit{fiqh tafsîr} period in the Prophet Muhammad PBUH era until \textit{fiqh madzhab} (a school of thought), \textit{fiqh tafsîr} that occurred after the \textit{taqlid} era and fanaticism \textit{madzhab}, and it was followed by various \textit{fiqh tafsîr} as the impact of numerous Islamic sects. Abu Bakr al-Jashshâsh (w: 370 H), in his book entitled \textit{Ahkâm al-Qur’ân}, is recorded as the first interpreter of \textit{fiqh tafsîr}. Later, in the fifth century, Abû al-Hasan al-Thabarî who is also known as al-Kiyâ al-Harrâsâ (w: 504 H) appeared as the writer of a book with the same title, \textit{Ahkâm al-Qur’ân}. In 543 H, another author appeared with his work that has, again, the same title.


He is Abu Bakr Ibn al-‘Arabī. In the sixth century, a book about fiqh tafsīr was published with the title al-Jāmi‘ li Aḥkām al-Qur‘ān. It was written by Abu ʿAbdollāh al-Qurṭubī (w: 671 H). Another book of fiqh tafsīr entitled al-Iklīl fī Istīnhāb al-Tanzīl made its appearance in 911 H, which was written by Jalāluddīn al-Suyūthī. Muḥammad ʿAlī al-Sayyīs (w: 1976) and Mannā’ Khalīl al-Qathṭān (w: 1999), from the contemporary ulema (Islamic scholars), have written a book with the same title Tafsīr Āyāt al-Aḥkām.32 Beside these two scholars, there was one named Muḥammad ʿAlī al-Shābūnī who has written a fiqh tafsīr entitled Rawā‘ al-Bayān fī Tafsīr Āyāt al-Aḥkām min al-Qur‘ān.”

Those scientific works have depicted fiqh tafsīr from the four majority of madzhabs and have presented fiqh tafsīr as a discipline of study that has been studied by many people and was popular among various levels of society.33 Fahd al-Rumī has affirmed that fiqh study grew in the beginning of the development of Islam, but the development of the branches of fiqh study has occurred in the second century. At the same time, the four majorities of madzhabs and fiqh madzhabs that have minority groups.34 The loyal believers of these minority and majority madzhabs reduced the legal verses tafsīr through rewriting and interpreting them based on the regulations of each madhab in performing istinbath (revealing a theorem to determine a conclusion aiming to solve certain problem, especially concerning fiqh) on the law. However, it eventually was tainted and associated with fanaticism towards each madhab.35 Although fiqh tafsīr appeared in the third century through products like numerous related literature until today, there is no real and comprehensive definition about fiqh tafsīrs that use literatures as the sources.

**Methodology of Qur’anic Exegesis**

Analytical method, which is called al-tahlīl in Arabic language, is one of the methodologies

33 The diversity of madzhabs in fiqh and ʿaqīdah has brought a significant impact on the development of fiqh tafsīr in various levels. From the historical view, fiqh tafsīr is actually deviated way too far from the original purpose, the concept of the revelation of the Qur‘ān, and the phase of the emergence of different madzhabs. These different madzhabs eventually significantly affected the emergence of fiqh tafsīr as driven by the believers of those madzhabs. Ahl al-Sunnah has several different fiqh tafsīr, but it is far from the term fanaticism. Zḥahiriah has another fiqh tafsīr that prioritizes the zḥahir’s opinions regarding the verses of the Qur‘ān. Meanwhile, the khwaraj community has a specific fiqh tafsīr reference. Syiah group also has a different fiqh tafsīr. All of these madzhabs have attempted to interpret the Qur‘ān, which then brought negative effects in form of madhab fanaticism and even differed from the original meaning and the content of the Qur‘ān. Muḥammad Ḥusayn al-Dżahābī, al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirūn, Vol. II, p. 323.
in interpreting the Qur’an. The emergence of al-tahlîlî as one of the methods is inseparable from the literature division of Qur’an tafsîr from various perspectives. Musâ’id al-Thayyâr, for instance, informs that the the divisions of tafsîr as seen from the methodology perspective are al-tahlîlî, al-îjmâlî, al-muqârîn dan al-mauðhû’î.36 The same thing is asserted from Baidan that among the Qur’an tafsîr methods, one of the existing one is al-tahlîlî.37

Al-tahlîlî or analytic is derived from the sentence tahlîl al-yamîn, and it is then used in every level of conversation.38 The use is then specified by Ibrahim Musthaîfâ who declared that al-tahlîlî is “an analysis on a group of sentences by explaining the parts and functions.”39 Ahmad Mukhtar adds up the function by “promoting analytical method as the basis in analyzing a study.”40 These varied opinions are then summarized in a more theoretical definition, which says that al-tahlîlî tafsîr is “interpreting the verses of the Qur’an based on the order of the surahs sequentially, verses, sentences, words, and revealing the values it contains, law, ‘aqîdah or regulation, sharia, and social matter.”41

In relation to the previous definition, then the analytical method of tafsîr interprets the verses of the Qur’an by disclosing various aspects conveyed in every verse and surah sequentially as how they are arranged in the Qur’an, based on a muффassîr’s basic knowledge and tendency. Thus, almost all of the literatures of tafsîr employ analytical tafsîr as the principal one for interpreting the verses of the Qur’an42 since analytical tafsîr aims to find out the sentences’ purpose from the linguistic and sharia aspects, the relation between a sentence and another in the same or different verse, the role of qira’at (recitation) and its impact on the meaning, and others as the miracles of of the Qur’an.43 The completion towards this definition of this analytical tafsîr is understood as the muффassîr’s or interpreter’s analysis according to his/her knowledge level as asserted by Abd al-Badi’ an-Nîrbânî. He stated that analytic is an operational stage of thought performed by logics in elaborating an object for generating a science.44

---

37 Nashruddin Baidan, Wawasan Baru Ilmu Tafsîr, p. 388.
42 According to al-Farnaîwî, as quoted by Suryadilaga, the literatures of tafsîr that employs this analytical method is differentiated into tafsîr bi al-ma’tsûr, tafsîr bi al-ra’î, tafsîr al-shâfî, tafsîr fiqi, tafsîr falsafi, tafsîr al-adabî al-ijtîmî’î. M. Alfatih Suryadilaga, Metodologi Ilmu Tafsîr, p. 39.
The Portrayal of Muslims and Non-Muslims in the Quran

“Muslim” dan “Islam” are two words mentioned in the Qur’an that have different backgrounds of story, purposes, and connotations.45 In the Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (KBBI), the word “Islam” is considered as “a school of belief that enacts a syariat or religious law for its follower or believer that must be implemented in their daily life.”46 The mentioned syariat comprises ritual implementation performed by the followers, such as syahadat (confession of faith), shalat (worship/prayer), fasting in the month of Ramadhan, zakat (alms-giving), and hajj (Islamic pilgrimage) for those who can afford it.47 The word “Islam” can also be defined as “acknowledging the truth verbally.” It is differed from “iman” or faith that means “admitting the truth in heart.”48 Nevertheless, the words “Islam” and “faith” are practically used interchangeably because one of them has already represented the other, and they are derived from the same source.49 These dissimilarities are then considered as the dichotomy towards the fact that every Muslim is not always a mu’min (one who believes in Allah the Almighty), and every mukmin is certainly a Muslim.50

In a more theoretical definition, Sayyid Quthb defines the word “Islam” as “an absolute tawhid (belief in the unity of Allah) with the embedded specificity and obligation, which cannot be associated with syirik (belief in more than one God).”51 This definition then orients towards the reality that “Islam” is perceived as the implementation of compliance,52


46 Islam encompasses five activities that must be implemented by every Muslim, and one or two activities are not suffice. It works the same for the word “faith” that cannot only be proven through particular activities, but it should be implemented comprehensively. Muhammad Jamâluddîn al-Qâsimî, Mahâsin al-Tâwil, Vol. I (Beirut: Dâr al-Kutub al-‘Ilmiyâh, 1418 ), p. 158.


50 “Islam” and “Iman” has taken different places in every Muslim’s heart, which can be analyzed with “the place of light within the sun.” This analogy provides a comprehensive understanding that sun is a light and not every light is sun. To make the differences between Islam and faith embraced by every Muslim as an individual, the Qur’an has stated “qâlat al-arâbu âmarannâ qul lam tukminu wa âkin qâlum asliamnâ (Q.S. al-‘Hujurat/49: 14). Abû Ishâq Ahmad al-Tsa’alîbî, al-Kasf wa al-Bayân an Tafsîr al-Qur’ân, Vol. I (Jeddah (KSA): Dâr al-Tafsîr, 2015), p. 145.


by being submissive, objective, and obedient through system, method, and law.54

The word “Muslim” is understood as “the believers of Islam.” Sayyid Quthb explicates in details that Muslims are “people who only worship Allah, are submissive to Allah, and do not help each other to do polytheism.” Related to the whole human race, al-Khatib proclaims that those who believe in the Risâlah (a summary of religious prescription in Islam) brought by the prophet and messenger of Allah without altering or replacing it are the people who are acknowledged as Muslims.57 Hence, Islam and Muslims are not only revolving around shari’at or Islamic law, the followers of the shari’at, and the ummah or the Islamic community who believe in Prophet Muhammad PBUH particularly, but they also encompass the shari’at and the followers of the earlier prophets. As a religion and belief, Islam is also the preaching of the earlier prophets and messengers of Allah, Islam also commands the Muslims’ lineage or descendant to perform all Islamic principles comprehensively, to have no other beliefs, and also to eventually die as mu’mins. The Muslims’ characteristics mentioned above are the characteristics that distinguish Muslims and other human beings who embrace other ideologies. The realization towards the phases of the perfect or complete level as a Muslim is an urgent thing to do. It is inseparable from the verbal confession as Muslims, but it is not directly proportional to the realization of those phases as the form of the standardized procedure to be a complete Muslim.

The Qur’an, which has clearly documented the words Islam and Muslim in several narration of verses, has not narrated the words Non-Muslim or ghair muslim. In mentioning non-Muslim, the Qur’an discloses the community existence, belief, and ritual activities performed by ghair muslim (non-Muslim) in form of exclamation/persuasion or open dialogue. Non-Muslim communities that are revealed by the Qur’an are essentially in conformity with the Islamic preaching mission of Prophet Muhammad PBUH at certain era in Mecca and Medina. The ghair muslim communities that were directly involved in the Prophet Muhammad’s (PBUH) mission are the musyrık (the people in Mecca who disobeyed

54 Sayyid Quthb, Fî Zihîlâl al-Qur’ân, p. 423.
58 Browsing through the journey of Islam as a faith, belief, and religion, Muslims need to see and study the verses of the Qur’an that reveal this noble religion’s journey and history through the Risâlah brought by the prophet and messengers of Allah. Muslims must have a faith that Islam is the religion set by Allah the Almighty for the human beings through the prophets and messengers who are sent by Allah. It is in line with the illustration in which Prophet Ibrahim AS asked and beg to make his family and children converted to Islam. Prophet Ya’qub also begs for the similar thing and commands his childrens and descendants to convert to Islam and to eventually die as mu’mins. See Q.S. al-Baqarah/ 2: 128, 131, Q.S. Âli-Imrân/3: 67 and Q.S. al-Baqarah/2: 132
Allah) in the pre *hijrah* era (the migration of Prophet Muhammad and his followers), Jews, Christians, and *Ahl Kitāb* (the people of Medina) after *hijrah* era.

The *musyrik* is the justification embedded on the people who live in Mecca. At that time, the majority of them believed in animism and performed rituals as the worshipper of animate or inanimate objects. This understanding has been asserted by al-Thabarānī who declared that *musyriks* are the Arabic people who worship sculptures and rocks, who also do not have any religious or holy book. The word *musyrik* is derived from the Arabic language al-*syīr* that means *kurf* (those who deny the truth of Islam) since they performed rituals of idolizing others beside Allah the Almighty. Whereas, Sayyid Thanthâwi opined that the word *musyrik* is derived from the Arabic word al-*isyrâk* which is interpreted as “set an object for idolatry among you and among others beside you.” Therefore, the religious groups who believe in polytheism or dualism of God, and put their object of idolatry next to Allah the Almighty, are also included in *syīr* category. A stronger definition explains that “*musyrik*” is “the people who equalize other things with God and claim them as their god.” Observing the various interpretations, then the word *musyrik* is actually attached to individuals who are aware or unaware and deliberately or undeliberately performed rituals by worshipping others beside Allah the Almighty as the only God.

The Qur’ān also has exposed that the terminologies, such as *andād*, *ālīhah*, *thagūt* and *arbāb* are synonymous to and are the forms of *syīr*; including the words al-*syīr* and *musyrik*. The meaning and objective of the words *musyrik*, *musyrikin* or *musyrikāt* written in the Qur’ān are the worshippers of animate or inanimate objects, not the Jews and Christian community because the Qur’ān address these two groups using the terminology *Ahl al-Kitāb*. A number of beliefs emerged in the current era shows particular rituals or religious activity that is worshipping objects, beside other types of beliefs. Thus, the use

---


63 Sayyid Thanthāwī has an argument against the dialogue mentioned in the Quran that involved Luqman and his son; Allah said: “(And remember) when Luqman said unto his son Salam, (when he was exhorting him) when he was exhorting him to do good and forbidding him to engage in evil: (O my dear son! Ascribe no partners unto Allah. To ascribe partners (unto Him) is a tremendous wrong) it is a great sin which is punished by Allah.” (Q.S. Luqmān:31:13). Muhammad Sayyid Thanthāwī, *al-Tafsīr al-Washīth li al-Qurān al-Karīm*, Vol. I (Kairo: Dâr al-Nahdah Misir li al-Thibâ’ah wa al-Nasyri wa al-Tauzi’, 1998), p. 488.

64 Ibid., p. 488.


66 The word *Andād* is a plural form, and the singular form is *an-niddu* that is translated as “alike.” The Quran mentions this word in its verses, which is “fālā tāf’alā lillāhī andādan wa antum ta’alūna,” (Q.S. al-Baqarah:2:22). The word *Ālīhah* is also a plural form and its *mufrad* or singular form is *Ilāhah*. This word has become an expression given by the Arabic community
of the word *musyrik* that appeared in the pre Islam era still has relevant implementations for today’s era.

Jewish people are the members of another non-Muslim group, whom are frequently mentioned in many verses of the Qur’an. Jews are known as the members of the community in Medina and Khaibar when Prophet Muhammad PBUH did *hijrah*. This Jewish community is polarized in several major ethnicity groups. Some of them are Bani Quinqa, Bani Nadhîr, Bani Quraizhah, Bani Musthalig and other small Jewish called Taimâ, Fadâk dan Wadi al-Qurâ. These Jewish groups which live in and around Medina and Khaibar are recorded in the history as the Jewish group that saved themselves from the Roman authority, which has taken control over the old Kingdom of Israel in Palestine. The word “Jew” is attributed to Bani Israel that has been admitted as the *ummah* of Prophet Musa A.S. Bani Israel has migrated to Egypt and has become one of the communities of Egypt that lived for several centuries before they moved back to Palestine under the leadership of Prophet Musa a.s. This Israel clan is also identified as the Hebrew people or nation as the justification towards the lineage of Prophet Ibrahim a.s. It is named as the Jews because they once sought for forgiveness of Allah. This term is then continued to address Jewish people who either did repentance or not.

Christian is also a non-Muslim community stated in the Qur’an and is detected as one of the communities who lived around Medina in the years prior to the *hijrah* when Prophet Muhammad PBUH moved to Medina. The word “Nasrani” (the Christian) is derived from the Arabic language *nashrâni*, which is the singular form of *nashârâ* that refers to the name of a village (*nashrâni*) in an area called Syam. The term *nashrâni* is then continued

before the Islamic era for the objects they worship, which are made from rocks and woods. The Quran wrote the sentence *Allah* in the verse “*wa yadsaruka wa âlihataka,*” (Q.S. al-Ârâf/7: 127). *Thagût* in *mufrad* form (single) and the *jama’* (plural) form is *Thawâqits*. This word is used to refer to any object of idolatry other than Allah the Almighty, and every religious leader of animism. There are four types of interpretation made for the word *Thagût* as written in the Qur’an. Those are the object for idolatry, satan or devil, witch, and Ahl al-Kitâb. The Qur’an has revealed this word in it verse “*yu’mînûnâ bi al-jibîl wa al-thagût*” (Q.S. al-Nisâ/4: 51). The word *Arbîb* means “religious leader” for both *ulema* (Islamic scholar) and *ustads* (teacher) who are considered as the leaders who lead to perversity or distortion of Islam like claiming an object as *halal* (acceptable according to Muslim Law), but it is actually prohibited by Allah the Almighty, and vice versa. The word *Arbîb* is written in the verse “*ittakhadsû akhbârahum wa ruhbânahum*” (Q.S. al-Taubah/9: 31). Muhammad Murtadhâ al-Husainî, Tâj al-Ârûsh min Jawâhir al-Qamûs, p. 216. Muhammad al-Azhari, Tahdzîb al-Lughah, Vol. VI (Beirut: Dâr Ihyâ’ al-Turâts al-Arâbî, 2001), p. 224. Muhammad bin Manzhûr, Lisân al-Ârab, Vol. VIII, p. 444. Compare to Muhammad bin Jarîr al-Thaibi, Jâmi’ al-Bayân fi Tâwil al-Qurân, Vol. XIV, p. 209.

70 Ibid., p. 263
to be used to address this religion and the followers.\textsuperscript{71} Muhammad Ruwâs Qal‘āji and Hâmid Shâdiq Qanbî informed that the word “nasrani” is translated from English into the word Christian.\textsuperscript{72} Ahmad Mukhtâr claims that nashrânî is the singular form of nashârâ, and this term is used to address those who believed in the religion of Isa al-Masîh.\textsuperscript{73} Mostly, the words nashrânî and nashârâ are written next to or in the same context where the word yahûdî is also mentioned in the Qur’ân. Those words are written in a wrong orientation that lead to the perversity performed by these two communities.

The Muslim community who lived during the era of Prophet Muhammad PBUH had a great favor towards the Christian community related to the conflict between two kingdoms that involved two nations and two ideologies at that time named Nasrani (ahl al-kitâb) and Majusi (fire-worshipper, animism).\textsuperscript{74} Nasrani was represented by the Rum people and Majusi was represented by the Persians.\textsuperscript{75} The relation between Islam and Christian during the time when Prophet Muhammad performed Islamic preaching in Mecca was actually slightly better,\textsuperscript{76} and even a little bit emotional.\textsuperscript{77}

The Jews and Christians were clearly inscribed in the verse of the Quran. The examples are the words of Allah “wa qâlat an-nashârâ al-masîhù ibnu Allah,”\textsuperscript{78} and “mâ kâna ibrâhîmu


\textsuperscript{73} Ahmad Mukhtâr, Mu’jam Lughah al-‘Arabiyyah al-Mu’âshirah, p. 2221.

\textsuperscript{74} The Christian is included in the Ahl al-Kitab community beside the Jew. However, it is different from Majusi, which is obviously mentioned in the Quran as thâ‘āfatai (two communities). If the Quran mentions tsalâtsa thawâ‘if (three communities), it means that the Majusi community is involved. Q.S. al-An‘âm/6: 156. Abû Bakar al-Jashshâsh, Aḥkâm al-Qur‘ân, Vol. IV (Beirut: Dâr al-Kutub al-‘Ilmiyah, 1994), p. 198.


\textsuperscript{76} Some of the emotional connections are the attestation of the Quran as written in Q.S al-Mâ‘idah/5: 82 that mention the characteristics of Islam and the Jewish relation as well as Islam and Christians. The Jews have an unbending relation with Islam. Vice versa, the Christian has a better relationship. The Christians have known the characteristics of Prophet Muhammad PBUH as they are mentioned in the Bible; the same things written in Q.S. al-Baqarah/2: 146. A Christian priest named Buhairah had protected Prophet Muhammad PBUH during his trip for trading in South Bushra Syam city (Syria), which was led by the prophet’s uncle, Abu Thalîb. ‘Abd al-Mâlik bin Hisyâm, al-Sûrah al-Nabawiyyah, Vol. I (Mesir: Syirkah Maktabah wa Mathba‘ah Musthafâ al-Bâb al-Halâbî wa Aulâdîh, 1955), p. 180.

\textsuperscript{77} As the King who was a Christian, Nàjasyî, who protected the companions of the prophet during the hijrah known as the Hijrah Habûsîhah I and II. This act of protection was very emotional because the Quran recited by the prophet’s companions told a story about Prophet Isya A.S. The story was confirmed and was in line with the context in the Bible they hold on to. \textit{Ibid.}, Vol. 1, p. 333.

\textsuperscript{78} Q.S. al-Taubah/9: 30.
yahuudiyyan walâ nashrâniyyan wa lâkin kâna hanîfan musliman,”79 “and the Christian people say: “al-Masîh is the son of Allah.” “Ibrahim is not a Jewish and (also) not a Christian, but he is an honest person who has surrendered (to Allah).”

Ahl al-Kitab is the fourth non-Muslim group that is frequently mentioned in the Quran. This group consists of Jews and Christian community that performed their rituals based on Tawrat and Injil (Gospel).80 Muhammad Âmîm informs a broader scope about Ahl Kitab by saying “Jews are generally known from Bani Israel and Christians, who believe in samawi or Abrahamic religions, and those who have the descended holy books such as the Scrolls of Ibrahim/Abraham, the Tawrat/Torah of Musa, the Zabur of Dawud, and the Gospel of Isa ‘alaîhim as-salâm.”81 Moreover, Imam Syâfi’i opines that the Ahl al-Kitab community did not exist anymore right after Prophet Muhammad PBUH was chosen to be the prophet and messenger of Allah. The people who converted to and believe in this belief after the revelation of the Qur’an should have accepted Islam as their belief; thus, they must also pay jizyah (tax) as what the musyrik people did.82 Hence, according to Imam Syâfi’i’s argument above, if Ahl al-Kitab was already not approved in the era of Prophet Muhammad, then the same discernment should also be applied to other followers and beliefs that have been transformed into various sects as well as the followers of the modern animism that have been labelled with various religions and beliefs in the current era.

Al-Shabi’în and al-Majusi are other non-Muslim groups that are documented in the Quran.83 Al-Shabi’în refers to a group that denies the existence of “the Creator,” and al-Majûsî si the fire-worshipper.84 By taking a closer look on the meaning of al-Shabi’în and al-Majûsî, the term ‘ritual practice’ that is performed by some individuals of the millennial generation appears as its association. These communities are known with reduced names, such as the atheism ideology believed by those who deny the existence of God (the Creator), and other Buddhist, Confucius, that involves fire in their religious ritual practice.

**Muslim and Non-Muslim Social Communication in Fiqh Tafsir**

Tafsîr can generally be defined as the product of ijtihad (efforts) of the ulema who possess adequate potentials and knowledge in interpreting the Quran. Muhrîf Saqâ says that the interpretation of the Qur’an is merely an ijtihad for explaining and explicating

82 Muḥammad bin al-Thâhirîn bin ‘Ashûr, al-Tâhir wâ al-Tanwîr, Vol. VI, p. 120.
the content of the Qur’an by taking the rules of the taṣfîr study into account. Suryadilaga has also stated the same thing as what has been narrated in the Qur’an that is introduced as hudan (guide), but in another occasion, it is introduced as al-furqân (distinguisher). The effort to understand the Qur’an accurately is identified as the term “taṣfîr.”

As pointed out in the explanation of community authority above, the social communication between Muslim and non-Muslim that was depicted in the verses of the Qur’an, was then understood as the authoritative taṣfîr literatures, and produced the fiqh taṣfîr resume as described in the following points:

Social Communication Engaged for Mutual Recognition

The command given to human beings to know each other is the main social communication form as stated in the Qur’an, in surah al-Hujurat/49: 13, “yâ ayyuha al-nâsu innâ khalaqnâkum min dzakarin wa ̲u̲nt̲s̲â wa ja’alnâkum syu’âban wa qabâ’ila lita’arafû inna akramakum ‘inda Allâhi atqâkum inna Allâha ‘alîmun khabîr.” Ibn al-Jauzî affirms that the verse was sent down after the conquest of Mecca, precisely right after Adhan (Islamic call for prayer) was chanted by Bilal bin Rabah from the top of Ka’bah.

This verse confirms the origin of human beings, the males and females, Prophet Adam a.s. and Hawa, and humans then underwent a change or metamorphosis among different tribes and nations. Ibn ‘Athiyah delivers two interpretations with two indications as shown in the sentence “dzakarin wa ̲u̲nt̲s̲â,” first: the ones mentioned previously are Adam a.s. and Hawa, then the indication tells as if Allah the Almighty says “…Him Who created you of a single soul Adam and from it created its mate Eve Hawwâ.” Second: dzakarin wa ̲u̲nt̲s̲â can also be defined as “the name for something,” therefore, it indicates as if Allah the Almighty says “Verily We created man the species from a drop of mixed fluid from a mixture that is from the seminal fluid of the man and the ovarian fluid of the woman…”.

The word “lita’arafû” is the keyword of social communication that appears in this verse. The word al-ta’âruf is the argumentation that is accompanied with the essential value on a creation with the nation and ethnicity background, which is also used to

---

88 Human classification based on the origin, nation, ethnicity, and family as written in the Arabic terminology with six names, namely: al-sya’bû, al-qabîlah, al-‘imârah, al-butûn, al-afkhâdz and al- fasîlâh. Al-Baidhâwî made an analogy: Khuzaimah was chosen for taking the role of sya’b, Khinânah for qabîlah, Qurayys for ‘imârah, Quashâi for butûn, Hasîm for fakhs and ‘Abbâs for fashîlah. There are only three of these six terminologies that are shown in the Qur’an, namely al-syu’uð, al-qabîlât (al-Hujurat/49: 13) and al-fashîlah (al-Ma’ârij/70: 13). See: Muḥammad al-
avoid fanaticism.\textsuperscript{89} Whereas, the sentence syû‘ban wa qabâ‘ila was interpreted by Muhammad Makki into “adjusting to each other” in form of the search of adjustment between one and another so that they will recognize each other.\textsuperscript{90} On the other side, al-Zu‘ja‘ili explains the reason of the human creation in more details by explicating the background of different nations, ethnicities, and families. They are created to know, communicate, interact, and cooperate with each other; and not to break the relation, create enemies, brag, do ghībah/gossiping that will lead to debates and hostility as well as to brag about nasab and clan.\textsuperscript{91}

Recognizing nasab in Islamic teaching as the “sharia demands” category as asserted by al-Sa‘dī\textsuperscript{92} is an essential part to be promoted by this verse. According to Abû Bakr al-Jazâ‘iri, nasab is a form of the nation, ethnicity, big family, and spouse creation in order to create a conducive social environment indicated by helping and cooperating with each other.\textsuperscript{93} Fanaticism in religion, nation, and ethnicity are covered in this verse through the narration “innâ akramakum ‘inda Allâhi atqâ’akum”. According to al-Khathâb, the narration of this sentence is also the act of straightening up the misleading understanding that has been contaminated and is laid within humans’ soul in form of material and spiritual phenomena among groups. It is also necessary to embed the ingenious reasoning in terms of the proportion in looking at every human.

The social communication presented in this verse is a form of social communication that can be performed between a Muslim and a non-Muslim, and even a Muslim and all humans using the law and ethics as the main principles. This argumentation arises based on the textual verse in the sentence “yâ ayyuha al-nâs”. Al-Khathâb states that this verse is sent down to the people of Mecca who were still musyrik, but on the other side, the people who listened to and implemented it were the Muslims. Al-Khathâb kept conveying his interpretation by mentioning that the “al-nâs” (human) refer to the Muslims and non-Muslims who are admitted as “the brothers and sisters in humanity” because they were created from one body, Adam A.S.\textsuperscript{94} Ibn ’Ashûr gave an additional information that the implementation of this verse is diverged from the obligation to do global communication


\textsuperscript{92} Wahbah al-Zu’ja‘îlî, \textit{al-Tafsîr al-Munir fi al-Aqîdah wa al-Syarî’ah wa al-Manhaj}, p. 266.


and interaction to the realization that must be executed by each individual since each tribe possesses the basic fanaticism towards its tribe.\textsuperscript{95}

\textbf{Social Communication Through the Peace Treaty Arrangement}

A peace treaty is the social communication that had been performed by the prophet with the non-Muslim parties, such as the Jews, Christians, inland Arabic tribes, and the musyrik community in Mecca. The biggest rival in the history of the prophet’s Islamic preaching is the musyrik community in Mecca before the invasion era (\textit{fat\u0131hu} Makkah), who once sat down next to the prophet in discussing the peace treaty known as Hudaibiah agreement. Social communication was reaching no where when the Mecca musyrik community violated the points of the agreement as written in surah al-Taubah/9, verse 1. Allah the Almighty says: “\textit{b}a\textit{r}â\textit{a}t m\textit{i}n Allâhî wa ras\u0152\textit{u}l\textit{\i}h\textit{i} ila al-ladzhînâ ‘\textit{\i}h\textit{\i}adtum m\textit{i}n al-musrikîn\textit{a}.}” (\textit{This is a declaration of immunity from God and His Messenger to reach the idolaters with whom you made a pact}. The word \textit{b}a\textit{r}â\textit{a}h written in the verse is the synonym of the word \textit{takhallasha}, which is translated into complete, clean and clear.\textsuperscript{96} \textit{Al-\textit{A}hd} and \textit{al-mu\textashad\textit{a}} is “the agreement that is arranged by two parties, which have prevailing regulations.” The subjects of the peace treaty mentioned in this verse are Allah and the Messengers of Allah as well as Allah and the musyrik group in Mecca.

This verse also discloses the behavior of the musyrik group in Mecca who violated the agreement without any concern from the other party, and it was validated as the annulment of agreement. The consequence of violating the agreement that automatically led to the annulment was then followed up by the realization that Allah and His Messengers had the “clean and clear “concept with the points of the agreement,\textsuperscript{97} and so casted the command to annul the agreement.\textsuperscript{98} Thus, the Muslims (the prophet and his companions) were allowed to do anything, which was forbidden as stated in the peace treaty. Al-Razî has affirmed that the one-sided annulment of agreement should be based on three things.\textsuperscript{99} Those are the content of agreement that has been violated by one of the parties, certain time period that has been agreed upon until Allah the Almighty sent His commands to annul it, and the end of the limit time as agreed.

\textsuperscript{95}Mu\u0152ammad bin al-\u0161âhir bin \textit{\textit{\i}sh\u0152r, \textit{al-T\u0131h\u0152r wa al-Tanw\u0131r} Vol. XXVI, p. 258.}
\textsuperscript{96}Abd Rahmân al-Ts\u{a}l\u0161âh, \textit{al-Jawâhîr al-\textit{\i}s\u0152n fi \textit{Tafsîr al-Qur\u0131n}, Vol. III (Beirut: D\u0131r \textit{\i}h\u0152\textit{\i}, al-Tur\u0152ts al-\textit{\a}r\u0152b, 1418 H), p. 161.}
\textsuperscript{97}Pakhruddin al-Razî, \textit{Maf\u0152\textit{\i}th al-Ghaib}, Vol. XV, p. 523.
\textsuperscript{98}Ahmad al-Mar\u0161âhî, \textit{Tafsîr al-Mar\u0161âghî}, Vol. X (Mes\u0131r: Musthafâ al-Bâb al-Hâlabî, 1942), h. 54.
\textsuperscript{99}Ibid., p. 523.
Social Communication in a “Soft” Relationship Form with the Christians

The Jews and Christians are non-Muslim communities that inhabited the Medina city who live socially close to Prophet Muhammad PBUH and his companions. These two communities had the same mission and vision, which was opposing Islam as a religion, Muhammad PBUH as the prophet and messenger of Allah and his companions as the followers of Islam and Muhammad PBUH. In spreading hatred and confrontation, the Jews community was harsher, crueler, and more cunning than the Christian community. The Christian community that lived around Medina and Arabian Peninsula didn’t do what the Jews community did. They surprisingly had the tendency to “protect” the prophet and his followers. One of the examples was Buhairah who asked Abu Thalib to go back to Mecca for protecting Muhammad, when he was a teenager, who was joining the kafila (a group of merchants) that was heading to Syria. Another instance was the protection given by al-Najasyî (the Christian king of Habsyah kingdom) to the companions of the prophet in the first and second hijra history. The Holy Quran has revealed the treatments of these two communities and the musryik toward the mu’min in Q.S. al-Ma’idah/5: 82, “latajiddanna asyadda al-nâsi ʿadâwat li illadzîna āmanû al-yahûda wa al-ladzîna asyarakû wa latajiddanna aqrabahum mawaddat li al-ladzîna āmanû al-ladîna qâtîn innâ nashâra dzâlika bi anna minhum qissîsîna wa rûhnân wa annahum lâ yastakbirûn”. (You O Muhammad (s) will truly find the most hostile of people to those who believe to be the Jews and the idolaters of Mecca because of the intensity of their disbelief ignorance and utter preoccupation with following whims; and you will truly find the nearest of them in love to those who believe to be those who say ‘Verily we are Christians’; that nearness of theirs in love to the believers is because some of them are priests scholars and monks devout worshippers and because they are not disdainful of following the truth as the Jews and the Meccans are.)

The keywords in this verse are ʿadâwah dan mawaddah. As what Akhmad Mukhtâr said, ʿadâwah is “hatred and hostility.”100 It even led to the realization of action.101 The word mawaddah means “friendship that leads to sisterhood or brotherhood”102 and “affection”103 based on the Anduze understanding. Akhmad Mukhtâr explains that the word mawaddah tend to be theoretical that is “a united feeling between two individuals or more in a social class followed by affection and it lasts forever.”104

The Jews and Christians were depicted in the abovementioned verse as communities that greatly opposed to the prophet and his companions. The level of opposition was

100 Ahmad Mukhtâr, Mu’jam al-Lughah al-'Arabiyyah al-Mu’âshirah, p. 1473.
102 Mukhtâr, Mu’jam al-Lughah, p. 73.
104 Mukhtâr, Mu’jam al-Lughah, p. 2417.
maximum because it was already led to behavior and action. The history has recorded a series of hostile actions committed by the Jews in Medina toward the prophet after the peace treaty was made. They were harassing the Muslim community, committed rebellion, such as violating the contents of the peace treaty, and forming alliance with the musyrik people of Mecca in the Parit battle (khandaq). It is not less interesting to look back to the psychological war set by the musyrik people of Mecca through bullying and persecution, and even physical action towards the companions of the prophet. These actions were then flared up by a plan of executing Prophet Muhammad PBUH that was going to be done at night before hijrah as well as a series of open war in some battle fields.

The Christians are the third group mentioned in the previous verse, but the history record says that they had no hostility toward the prophet and his companions, unlike what the Jews and Musyrik group did. Although there was no name mentioned, the interpretation of the stated Christians refers to Najasyî (King of Habsyah) and the followers of ʿİsa a.s.105 who met Islam and converted to it because they acknowledged the truth and felt small after knowing the truth. Al-Qissûn and al-ruhbân are the main subjects of the narration of this verse that depicted the Christian community. Al-Qissûn is plural, and the singular form is qisû that means “the server of religion of Masehi/AD who also acts as the leader in every ritual practice.”106 However, Râgib al-Ashfahânî assigns a meaning to qisû as “a person who does dhikr (remembrance) frequently.”107 Meanwhile, the word al-ruhbân is the plural form of al-râhiî and the root word is rahaba that means “hiding” or alienating oneself. Al-Azhari provided information about the habits of the Christian monks who alienated themselves in the monasteries.109 Ahmad Mukhtar added some information about the characteristics of the Christian monks who compleletly left the worldly pleasure, alienated themselves from the society, and performed ritual practices based on their belief and its procedures.110 Abu Hayyân al-Andalûsî provided an easier

---

105Bn Katsîr said, as quoted by Sayyid Thanthâwî, that the group that claimed themselves as the Christians who follow Isya A.S was like Angela sect today. They had an emotional relationship with Islam and its followers, and it was only kept within their heart because they were the followers of Prophet ʿİsa a.s. Allah has explained this kind of Christian believers in surah al-Hadîd/57: 27: “waja'alan fi qulûb al-ladâmna ittaba'âhu ra'fatun wa rahmatan wa rahbâniyatun”. Their holy book also says “To one who slaps your right cheek, give him your left cheek too.” The crucial point in this sect shows that war is not a part of the principles of their religion. see: Muâhammad Sayyid Thanthâwî, al-Tafsîr al-Washîth li al-Qurân al-Karîm, Vol. IV, p. 255.


understanding for the words *al-qissûn* and *al-ruhbân*, which are translated into “the Christian scholars” and or “the Christian priests.”

Taking a closer look, the etymological and terminological meanings of *al-qissûn* and *al-ruhbân* are different from the modern concept of the Christian priest although they still have the same spiritual position. The main differences include belief, spiritual activity, and action. Buhaira and Waraqa bin Nausal, for instance, believed in the prophecy of Muhammad PBUH and believed that he is the Messenger of Allah because the information is written in their holy book. Also, the monks who lived in Habsyiah Kingdom after the era of Ja’far bin Abd Muthalib recited the verses of the Qur’an that were related to Prophet Isya A.S. In case of religious activities, *al-qissûn* and *al-ruhbân* indeed went for isolation in order to do worship and abandon the worldly pleasure. They implemented the teachings of Tawrat and Injil in their ritual practices so that they acknowledged the truth of the existence of prophet among the Arabic people.

The comparison of hostility level of the Jews and Christians communities will be more interesting if the verse above is observed and compared to the statement of Abû Hurairah, which stated that the prophet Muhammad PBUH said “*mâ khalâ yahudiyâni bi muslimin illâ hammâ bi qatlih.*” It told about a condition in which two Jewish people who were hiding were killed, but on the other hand, the Christians, as a community and individual, did not go against the prophet and his companions as what *al-qissûn* and *al-ruhbân* did. Some of them were even crying when they listened to the recitation of the verses of the Quran. It was caused by many things, and it was mainly because of the Jews’ arrogance while *al-qissûn* and *al-ruhbân* from the Christian community were known for having humility and modesty. Sirâjuddîn informed that “the Christians tended to have no feeling of jealousy toward other beliefs and did not hurt other human beings.”

As an addition to the characters and traits, religion was also believed as the other triggering factor of the hostility they committed. Al-Qâsimî affirmed that the Jews were obliged to spread hostility and terror to other people who have different religions using any ways. They did economic oppression and murder; but those things were forbidden for the Christians. This argumentation was asserted by al-Khathîb that the Christians did not consider the

---

Abrahamic religions as their own. Meanwhile, the Jews thought that the Abrahamic religion was purely theirs, and other races were prohibited to embrace their religion or Islam.\textsuperscript{117}

The comparison between the Jews' and Christians' level of attitude regarding “the softer relationship” is actually a reality in the real life, which was relevant in the era of prophet Muhammad PBUH, and it is way different if it is compared to the current era because every religion and belief has their own mission and vision. Moreover, the followers also have rivalry between a belief and another, but they also have the same forum to maintain peace and relationship. Sayyid Thanhawi stated that “these praises were delivered only to solve crimes or other evil things. These were not the real praises because the words reflecting the hostility towards Islam and a little softer relationship or emotional relation are not justifying their kufr/disbelief and their weakness.\textsuperscript{118}

\textbf{In Search of a Balanced Social Communication}

The word ahl kitāb can be found many times in various verses in the Qur'an. The ahl kitāb mentioned here belong to the Jewish and Christian communities. Al-Thabari expalicates the meaning of ahl kitāb in this verse “the owner of two holy books” named Tawrat and Injil without taking a favor on one of the holy books' followers.\textsuperscript{119} These verses containing the word ahl-kitāb mostly tend to contain the teaching of tawheed, kufr, and their daily activities; moreover, these verses teach about negative behaviors, such as jealousy, grudge, arrogance, rebellion, and misleading. Allah the Almighty, through the Qur'an, has shown His divine words towards Ahl Kitāb in facing the act of kufr and wrong ritual practices that were implemented in their daily life. In surah Al-Imrān/3 verse 64, Allah declares: “qu' yā ahla al-kitābi ta'ālau ilā kalimah sawā' in wa bainakum allā na'buda illa Allāha walā nusyrika bihi syyā a walā yattakhidza ba'dunā ba'dhān arbāban min dūni Allāh fain tawalluwa faqūlū wa isyhadā biannā muslimūn. (Say: O People of the Scripture. Come to an agreement) the agreement is: there is no god except Allah (between us and you: that we shall worship none but Allah) we shall declare Allah as the only One God, (and that we shall ascribe no partners unto Him) from among created beings, (and that none of us shall take others for lords beside Allah) that none of us shall obey another person in that which involves a transgression against Allah. (And if they turn away) and refuse to accept Allah's divine Oneness, (then say: Bear witness) know (that we are they who have surrendered (unto Him)) and acknowledge His Divine Oneness and worship Him.)

There are dissimilar opinions among the mufassir regarding the peculiarity of the revelation of this verse, whether it was sent down to the Jews from the Israel clan, or it


was particularly sent down to the Christians, the people of Najran.\textsuperscript{120} Regardless the variation of opinions, al-Tsa’lafi has elaborated the causes of the revelation of this verse that is the arrival of the Najran Christian community in Medina who also met the Jews community. This forum then triggered the debate about Prophet Ibrahim a.s. This debate then led them to ask Prophet Muhammad PBUH about a solution by saying “O Muhammad, we have different opinions concerning Ibrahim. The Christians assume that Ibrahim is Christian, and he is the most prominent human. The Jews also has the same claim. Prophet Muhammad PBUH then answers that none of these two communities are the followers of Ibrahim a.s., but he is a hanif (a person who maintained the pure monotheism of the patriarch Abraham) and I (Muhammad PBUH) have the same religion as his, and all of you should embrace his religion that is Islam.”\textsuperscript{121}

The word Sawâ written in the verse is interpreted as mustawiyah and is translated into “same.” The sentence sawâ bainanâ wa bainakum is interpreted by al-Zumukhsyarî into mutatsâwiyah bainanâ wa bainakum that contains meaning “similar among us and you” and not differentiating the content of the Qur’an, Tawrat and Injil.\textsuperscript{122} Râghib al-Ashfahânî conveys that the word Sawâ is also interpreted as ‘âdîl\textsuperscript{123} which has the same meaning and use as an Indonesian word. The Indonesian words of “same” and “fair” are similar to one degree, one level, one position, in line, and contains no concept of belittling others. The word arbâb is the plural form of al-rab as what has been defined by Wahbah al-Zuhailî as\textsuperscript{124} “someone who takes a role as the respected person that protects, guards, obeys the commands and avoid the forbidden things. The most crucial element is having the right in tasyrî (the establishment of Islamic law) regarding halâl /lawful and haram/prohibited.” The phenomena of arbâb in the Ahl al-Kitâb community is explicated in more details by al-Thabarî who said “obey and follow the leaders who give orders to do sinful things and abandon everything forbidden by Allah the Almighty.”\textsuperscript{125} From those definitions, it can be concluded that the word arbâb refers to someone that is assumed to be a respected, influential, clever, and intelligent person who also possesses the authority to legalize and forbid things based on Islamic law.

\textsuperscript{120}Najran is a small city in Hijaz at the famous Yemen, the place that was inhabited by two Hamdan tribes. The inhabitants are robbers or thieves, and they executed their actions in the borderline of Yemen. The Quran also wrote one verse that states ashhâb al-ukhdûd, which was assumed by an opinion that it was located in Najrân, and now Najrân is included as a part of Manthiqah Ashrî, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. See: T Pen, Hudud al-Álim min al-Masyiq ilâ al-Magrib, Vol. I (Kairo: al-Dâr al-tSaqâfah li al-Nasyr, 1423), p. 171. ‘Áli al-Harûwî, al-Iṣyârât ilâ Ma’rifah al-Ziyârât, Vol. I (Kairo: Maktabah al-Tsaqâfah al-Dinîyah, 1423), p. 24.


\textsuperscript{124}Wahbah al-Zuhailî, al-Tafsîr al-Munîr fi al-Aqîdah wa al-Syar’i’ah wa al-Manhaj, p. 251.

Social communication is the command given to Prophet Muhammad PBUH, through the abovementioned verse, for doing Islamic preaching, conversing, and communicating with the Ahl al-Kitab community that consists of the Jews and Christians as the believers of Tawrat and Injil. The dialogue in a conversation should be arranged in kalimatin sawā formula. This social communication is a form of their existence, but the principal materials encompass the acts of worshipping Allah the Almighty, refusing polytheism or animism, having no belief that one’s intelligence is equal to Allah. This subject concerning communication is very vital because Ahl al-Kitāb, the Jews and Christians, made their loved ones as their God, namely 'Uzair and 'Isa a.s. and kept Allah the Almighty next to them.

**Conclusion**

Through the verses, the Qur’an has described the social communication that can be performed between Muslims to non-Muslims. The fiqh tafsīr analysis on those verses conclude the social communication form and format through dialogue, discussion, question-answer session, as well as the social variable pointing out that all people are equally tall when standing and equally low when sitting without any assumption that the followers of other religions or beliefs are higher or lower. Knowing each other, acknowledging their existence by creating a peace treaty, forming a softer relationship with the followers of the Abrahamic religions, and conducting discussion based on equality with the Ahl al-Kitab community are the future social communications. Future research and studies on other verses of the Qur’an in order to elaborate the form and format of social communications that can be performed are essential to be conducted to be more specific and detailed references since this study is limited to global form and format only.
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